P. 33

*Previous references*

H 213-15 & 21 6/03

Ed F 17 05—1904

*Later references*

1905.

( Education )

File No 64—05

Serial Nos 1—4

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

*Serial Nos* 1 & 4

**Part B for** September 1905

SUBJECT

Irregularities in Connection with the Contingent Charges of the Central Coll.

FILE No Ed F 64 of 1905 06

*Irregularities in connection with the contingent charges of the Central College*

1 From the Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore memo dated the 1st August 1905
Brings to the notice of Government certain irregularities in connection with the contingent charges of the Central College and states that the Principal has drawn Rs 3 500 in excess of the contingent grant during 1904 05

2 To the Principal of the Central College Bangalore and the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore Government Proceedings No 1732 3 dated the 18th August 1905
Points out the irregular procedure adopted by the Principal of the Central College in connection with the contingent charges of the College and requests him to explain why he adopted such a procedure notwithstanding the clear orders of Government to the contrary and why he drew an excess amount from the Treasury

3 From the Principal, Central College Bangalore No 61 dated the 23rd August 1905
States that the irregularities have occurred much against his wish and prays that they may be overlooked by Government and that the excess expenditure may be kindly sanctioned

4 To the Principal of the Central College and Comptroller No 2358 9 dated 5th September 1905
Sanctions the irregular expenditure of Rs 3 500 incurred by the Principal during 1904 05 as a special case and withdraws the amount of Rs 2 500 provided in the current year's budget on account of the purchase of apparatus

Fl 213 15 dated the 27th June 1903
Ed F 17 1904 05

G R S 11] 1905 -06

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Education)

NOTES

File No 66-1905

File Heading

Irregularities in connection with the contingent charges of the Central College

From The Comptroller to the Govt of Mysore

No Nil Dated 1/8/05 Received 2/8/05

Diary No 970 | Enclos / | Spare Copies | Serial No /

Brief Abstract of Letter

Points out for the information and orders of Govt certain irregularities in connection with the contingent charges of the Central College during 1904-05.

NOTES AND ORDERS

In December 1904, the Govt asked for a Special grant of Rs 2,500 for the purchase of certain pieces of physical apparatus for the laboratory of the Central College. After consulting the Comptroller and the Dept of Edn Govt decided



MCGIB—50 000—17 2 05

Serial No 1

that the apparatus might be purchased in 1905-06 and ordered the necessary provision to be included in the Budget for that year (Vide G.O No 6956-8 Ed (175-04)-2 dt 14/2/05)

2 From the bompriwala's note now submitted, it appears that the book had already drawn part of the amount for the purchase of the apparatus from the Bangalore Treasury before applying for Govt Sanction. On the whole, he has drawn Rs 3,500 in excess of the contingent allotment of the College during 1904-05 for the purchase of the apparatus. Even after the order authorising the inclusion of Rs 2,500 in the Budget for 1905-06, the Principal never informed that he had drawn the amount from the Treasury during 1904-05. The bompriwala's note fully explains the irregular procedure of the book

3 In this connection it is submitted that this is not the first instance in which the book adopted such an irregular procedure. In 1902-03, the book purchased certain pieces of chemical and physical apparatus for Rs 1860 without the previous sanction of Govt and

Note—Contn sheet

Serial No

1 and afterwards applied for sanction. Govt in sanctioning the expenditure observed that sanction in such cases should not be anticipated and that in future no expenditure, for which there is no provision in the Budget, should be incurred without previous sanction (Vide G O No R 2339-41 R 27/6/03)

V

4 April 1903-04 Mr book purchased certain furniture at a cost of Rs 1,400 without Govt sanction Govt sanctioned this expenditure as an exceptional case observing that Mr book ought not to have incurred it without sanction as the procedure was opposed to financial rules and he was asked to desist from doing so in future (Vide G O No R 213-15 dt 16-26 R 9/7/04). At this time the Principal did not state that he had drawn the amount from the Treasury during 1903-04 though he had actually done so, and so Govt sanctioned the 1,400 Rs as a Supplemental grant to the Budget of 1904-05 Afterwards the Comptroller pointed out that the same was actually drawn in 1903-04 by the Principal and Requested ades on the irregular procedure This correspondence

* R

Note—Contn sheet

Serial No

a 1 was filed (Vide G. Fmce file No III-04).
The procedure now adopted by the book is similar to the above one he adopted in 1903-04.

5 From the above facts it will appear that the book persistently follows an irregular procedure, notwithstanding the repeated orders of Govt to the contrary.
Subd for orders with References

King Emp
3/8/05

FB
3/8/05

6 For a draft detailing the different instances of departure from the rules

4 8 1905

Draft Subd to the Dewan Saheb thro the Accountant & Comptroller
King Emp
7/8/05

FB
8/8/05

8 8 1905

1905
8-8-05

8 Returned papers calling for an explanation from the book keeper before passing final orders & memo detailing out the irregularities (containing the Comptroller comments) may be sent to the book keeper for explanation — GB

8092
10 8 05

(a) 10.8.1905 To the Comptroller 12 8 1905

(a) 9/8/05 — 1039 The draft with a small alteration I have made will stand answer needs

11 VIII 05

2316
16-8-05



MGGPP—50 000—17 2 05

GRS 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No 64 of 1905

Serial No

1 11 I refer to the Devan's Minute of 16/8/05

12 Send the draft 16/8/05

2|13 To the Comptr to Principal, Central College.

G.O No 1732-3 D. the 18th August 1905

Requests the Principal of the Central College to explain the irregularities referred to in the Comptr's memo and also to state why he adopted the irregular procedure notwithstanding the clear orders of Govt to the Contrary and why he drew an excess amount from the Treasury etc

3 14 From the Principal, Central College, Bangalore No 61 R 23/8/05

States that the irregularities have taken place much against his wish and prays that they may be overlooked and that the excess expenditure incurred may be kindly sanctioned

15 The Principal also states that he would strictly observe the financial

Note—Contn sheet

Serial No 3

Rules in future and that the pieces of apparatus purchased are real additions to the Stock on 30th June 1904.

4 As the expenditure was incurred in 1904-05, it if approved, may be authorized to be included in the annual appropriation Statement for that year. The Principal should be asked ~~to~~ to draw any ~~sum~~ amount from the provision of Rs 2500 in the current year's Budget for the purchase of the apparatus referred to Subject to orders of the Devan Sahib thro the 1st Councillor with a draft

Vellore
23/8/05

24/8/05

26-8-1905

307
26/VIII 1905

5 This may be done But after all that the Board has said it is right that we should again observe that the explanation is unsatisfactory & we would rather not make any such observation -

27-8-05.

28/8/1905

6353
28-8-05

GRS 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No 61 of 1905—7

No 18 Dewan's note on the draft
I agree to the draft as altered
by the Councillor
(Init) PWK
29/6/05

19 Init to Secy (Init) BKV
30/6/05

20 Issue (Init) BKV
30/6/05

4 To the Principal, Law College
Secretariat
G.O No 2358-9 R 5/9/05
Condones the irregular procedure
of not books and sanctions the ex-
penditure as an exceptional case

Note—Contn sheet

GRS 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

Diary No 9170 S (1)
File No 65 of 1905-06 SC

Serial No

Confidential

Secretary,

I am sorry to report that some rather serious irregularities have taken place in the office of the Central College in regard to the drawing of funds from the treasury and their accounting.

Mr Cook in his letter to Government No 163 of 23rd December 1904 asked for an additional grant of Rs 2500 to purchase some improved apparatus for Scientific Instruments offered as a special case of a London firm. Govt in letter no 6956-8 of the 14th February 1905 ordered that the apparatus might be purchased in 1905-6 and the necessary Budget provision made therefor.

It would now appear that Mr Cook had been drawing from the treasury funds for the expenditure since July 1904* and during the year 1904-5 he has actually drawn Rs 3500 for the purchase of the improved apparatus as follows

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| July 1904 | 400 | Feby 1905 | 100 |
| August | 600 | March | 300 |
| Septr | 150 | April. | 700 |
| October | 850 | | |
| December | 500 | Total | 3500 |

* It was not mentioned in the application of 23rd December 1904 that a considerable portion of the stores had already been incurred

NOTE—Contn sheet

Serial No

I annex a statement showing the total withdrawals of funds by Mr Cook against his Budget Grant of Rs 2.300 The amounts spent on the apparatus are marked by me in red chalk

The amounts were all drawn from the Bangalore Treasury on Post and bills and although no detailed bills were furnished for the expenditure during the year, the Treasury officers who helped him because in every abstract bill submitted to the Treasury Mr Cook signed the usual certificate that the detailed bills up to the end of the last month had been furnished.

As regards the action taken in my office — Mr Satyanarayana Chetty wrote a letter on 16th December 1904 asking for the submission of detailed bills for the sum of Rs 1900 drawn from June to October 1904

No reply to the above letter having been received, a reminder was issued by Mr Boothpandu on 28th February 1905 (By this date the Govt orders had issued that the expenditure should be incurred in 1905-6 but my officers had no information that H.

1x—Contn sheet

Serial No

Expenditure had already been incurred.

On 13th March 1905, the Cooks wrote a letter saying that the Cinchona bills would be sent as soon as they were ready.

After this on 27th March 1905 the Director sent the same notice that the Budget grant was exceeded by Rs 1907-9-3 up to February and that a reappropriation should be proposed.

The Cooks wrote in reply on 1st April that Govt had decided that the hire charge should be made in 1905-6 that he was *writing to Govt to sanction the Expenditure in 1904-5. He also said that he intended to ask Govt for Rs 2000 instead of Rs 2,500 in 1904-5 (This was the first time that We came to know that Expenditure on the apparatus was going on since July 1904 but as Mr Cook said he was addressing Govt they office would wait for Govt orders)

No orders of Govt having been received in April and the details bills not being furnished, the case was -

* Was Govt really written to?
No.
Visit 3/5/05

NOTE—Contn sheet

Serial No

brought to my notice.

I wrote a letter on 3rd July pointing out the serious irregularity in regard to the signing of incorrect certificates on bills and the delay in rendering accounts and [I said that] unless the accounts were rendered quickly or the excess withdrawals refunded to Govt it might be my principal duty to enforce their Submission by such unusual measures as the stopping of cash payments on abstract bills

To this I received a reply on July 6 that Govt* had again been addressed and that it was pointed out to Govt that the Cook had been very much troubled by my office re adjustment of accounts

* Was first reply addressed? No.

Vide 3/6/15

But there was again nothing done in July - I was therefore obliged to stop the Cook's contingent bills except on pre audit in my letter of 29th July a copy of my letter is enclosed for perusal.

[In reply to this Mr Cook said] that the irregularities pointed out by me had taken place much against his

in letter of 9th June



MGCPL—50 000—1 20

Serial No

wish and promised early adjustment of the accounts before the close of the year.

Nothing however was done during the year and on 5th July 1905, I invited attention to the matter. Mr Cook in letter of 7th July promised to settle the matter by the end of July.

In continuation a letter of 22nd July has been written by Mr Cook with which he forwards Vouchers for Rs 3500 paid in purchase of apparatus and asks that the amount maybe adjusted as follows

Rs 2500 sanctioned in Govt order of 14th January 1905

Rs 1000 from allotment from Contingent Grants for 1905-6

This is of course out of the question and I have informed Mr Cook that Expenditure in 1904-5 can not be adjusted against Grants for 1905-6 and he must obtain Specific Grants of Govt to the Expenditure incurred in 1904-5.

Meanwhile, I have thought it right to bring the matter to the notice of

Note—Contin sheet

Serial No

Govt because it seems some remark all that an appeal of the position attainments of Mr Cook should have been reminded of such flagrant breach of financial rules.

I suspect that Mr Cook is probably very busy with his own Educational work and some unscrupulous subordinate must have landed him in these irregularities.

There is one peculiarity about the vouchers now sent home They are not receipts of any firm in England from which Mr Cook received the apparatus ~~that~~ nor do the receipts give the names of the apparatus. All the receipts are signed by Mr Jones of Madras, probably a professor in the Madras Presidency College and the articles are described as "Improved apparatus for experiments in different Subjects

NOTE—Contn sheet

Serial No

When the application for ~~additional~~ ~~grant in aid~~ sanction to excess expenditure comes to be disposed of by Govt, Govt will no doubt ascertain the names of the apparatus and other details, and Govt must also withdraw Rs 3500 from Mr Cook's grant for the current year

I am also of opinion that it will be well to have an examination made by an expert of the apparatus purchased and their prices as compared with market prices, also to examine the stock account to see that they are real additions to stock. Probably Mr Bhathena is the best person to undertake this work but I need not say more.

I have too high a respect for Mr Cook to think that any thing wrong could have taken place with his

Serial No

knowledge but apparently there is something wrong in the state of Denmark and possibly this warning will be taken in a proper Spirit The work of an auditor is very unpleasant if not mean, but I have a duty to perform and I shall be very glad to know that there is really nothing wrong and that my Suspicions are groundless

Yrs Truly
Bacon
1805

Statement of amounts drawn on account of Contingent expenses of the Principal Central College, Bangalore 9

| Month | Voucher No | Amount | | | Date of Obtained bill | Description of charge given in abstract bill. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| July 1904 | 217 | 300 | - | - | 22-7-1905 | Geology Contingent Cash |
| — | 318 | 100 | - | - | | Physical Science prize |
| | 340 | 38 | 15 | 3 | 29 8 04 | Clock winding &c |
| August | 157 | 500 | - | - | 22-7 05 | Library Reference Books &c |
| — | 371 | 30 | 6 | - | 30-9 04 | Clock winding &c |
| September | 320 | 100 | | - | 22-7 05 | Furniture & apparatus |
| — | 336 | 50 | - | - | | apparatus &c |
| — | 350 | 27 | 12 | - | 26-10 04 | Clock winding charges &c |
| October 1904 | 181 | 150 | | | 22-7 05 | Chemical apparatus &c. |
| — | 288 | 700 | - | - | | Laboratory Contingent Furn |
| | 335 | 8 | 12 | - | 28-11 04 | Clock winding &c |
| December | 244 | 59 | 6 | - | 30-1-05 | Extra English Compositor &c |
| | 292 | 500 | - | - | 22-7 05 | Library Reference Books &c |
| January 1905 | 283 | 1500 | - | - | | Apparatus Books & prizes |
| - | 373 | 27 | - | - | 27 2 05 | Telegram &c. |
| February | 281 | 600 | - | - | 22-7-05 | Physical Science prizes |
| | 367 | 15 | 6 | | 31-3-05 | Clock winding &c. |
| March | 186 | 300 | - | - | | Instruments Geology Con- tingent charges |
| - | 582 | 300 | - | - | 22-7-05 | chemicals & apparatus |
| — — | 623 | 13 | 4 | | 20-4 05 | Clock winding &c - - |
| April | 551 | 179 | 2 | 9 | 14/22-7-05 | Clock winding & Misc. |
| | 561 | 700 | - | | 22-7-05 | Chemicals & Carriage &c |
| | Total Rs | 5700 | 0 | 0 | | |

Amount of grant sanctioned for the year 1904-05

Rs Geological Museum Rs 300-0-0
Library and Prize Rs 600-0-0
Office Expenses & Miscellaneous Rs 1400-0-0
Total Rs 2300-0-0

Excess amt drawn — Rs 3400-0-0
Total Rs 5700-0-0

From
Rao Bd Rama Rahanadhan
Comptroller of Mysore

To
The Principal, Central College
Bangalore.

No. 7046-7 / 7a2 1058-9 dt 26/29 May '05

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 307 dated 6 May '05 I regret to notice that the detailed bills for amounts drawn on abstract Contingent Bills have not hitherto been received in this office

I am sorry that repeated reminders for them have annoyed you, but the Audit Office incurs a serious responsibility in having to enforce the observance of financial rules, and I take this opportunity to state briefly the irregularities that have taken place

(1) Against a total grant of Rs 2800/- for Contingent Expenditure for your College sanctioned by Govt in the Budget Estimate for 1904-05, you have actually drawn Rs 4820-13-3 upto the end of March 1905.

(2) Of the total amount drawn as above, you have accounted for only Rs 220-13-3 leaving the large amount of Rs 4600/- still to be accounted for

(3) As a check against heavy overdrawals on abstract Contingent bills, Treasury Officers

Officers are required, to see that the usual certificate is given on the bills to the effect that "Detailed contingent bills for all contingent charges drawn prior to the 1st of the month have been forwarded with all vouchers to the Comptroller of Maryland." Although this certificate has always been signed by You, the detailed bills have not been actually furnished

With the approaching close of the financial year, it is becoming every day more urgent to settle the matter, and I am therefore obliged to request that pending this settlement You will not present any of your bills direct to the Baltimore District Treasury, but will send them to this Office for audit

Orders for payment of the bills will be issued by this Office after pre-audit by the Baltimore District Treasury

Yours &c

Jas G Barse

Comptroller

17

GRS 28]

File No 64/05

Serial No 2

*Diary No of letter replied to* 970-

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( Edl )

*, the* 190

Date of issue 18 8 05

Signed by

READ —

Memo dt the 1st August 1905 from the Comptroller to the Govt of H H the Maharaja of Mysore, pointing out certain irregularities in connection with the Contingent charges of the Central College during 1904-05

ORDER THEREON —No 1732-3 Ed (64-05)-2

In December 1904, Mr Brook, Principal of the Central College at Bangalore asked for a Special grant of Rs 2,500 for the purchase of certain pieces of apparatus required for showing certain

SUBJECT

MCCPB—3000—11-3-04

certain experiments in electricity and magnetism to the Students of the College, on the ground that the prices ~~they were~~ offered was far below their real price, by an ~~English~~ British Firm. After consulting the Comptroller and the Dir of Edn in Mysore, Govt decided that ~~that~~ the purchase of the apparatus might be ~~conveniently~~ made in 1905-06 and ordered the inclusion in Govt Pro No 6956-8 Ed(175-03)-2 dated 14th Feby 1905 of the necessary provision in the Budget for that year. ~~in Govt No 6956-8 dated the 14th February 1905 Ed(175-04)-2~~ The provision was accordingly made in the Current year's Budget

2 The Comptroller now brings to notice the following irregularities in connection with this item of expenditure —

(a) The book ~~had been drawing~~ drew from the Bangalore Distt Treasury funds since July 1904, the total amount drawn during the year 1904-05 being Rs 3,500 or Rs 1,000 more than....

GRS 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation Sheet draft form)

the sum sanctioned for the purchase of the improved apparatus, but in the application to Govt made after 5 months after he had drawn the 1st item of Rs 400, he never mentioned that he had drawn already a portion of the amount ~~a considerable portion of the expenditure already~~.

(b) that While drawing the amounts month after month from the Treasury, he took signed the usual certificate that the detailed bills up to the end of the previous month had been furnished though he had not done so

on abstract contingent bills

(c) that When the Accountant General requested him on the 16th December 1904, to send the detailed Contingent bills from July to October 1904 and reminded them of the same in February 1905, he sent neither bills nor a reply till the 18th March 1905, when he promised to send bills as soon as they were ready. Again when it was pointed out on the 27th

March 1905 that the Budget grant for contingencies had been exceeded by Rs 1907-9-3 and that a Reappropriation should be proposed, Mr Book replied that the ~~informed~~ replied ~~that he was~~ Govt had sanctioned a sum of Rs 2,500 for the apparatus, but had decided that the expenditure should be incurred in 1905-06 and that he was addressing a separate communication for getting the expenditure sanctioned in 1904-05, but ~~But~~ he never did so.

(d) that finally the Comptroller once again Reminded Mr Book on the ~~17th~~ 3rd May 1905 of the irregular procedure ~~adopted~~ by ~~him~~ and Requested him to render accounts early, as otherwise he would be compelled to enforce them Submission by Stoppage of Cash payments on abstract bills. To this Mr Book replied ~~stating~~ that he had again addressed Govt in the matter though he had not done so and promised early adjustment of accounts before the close of year 1904-05.

No accounts having been rendered, on the 29th May 1905 the Comptroller stopped payment of Mr Book's abstract contingent bills except on pre audit ~~on 29/5/05~~.

On the 22nd July 1905 Mr Book who had previously stated that the irregularities pointed out had taken place much against his wish forwarded Vouchers for Rs 3,500

GRS 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation Sheet draft form)

and requested that the amount might be adjusted as follows.

Rs 2,500 out of the amount sanctioned in the G.O. of the 14th February 05 and included in the Budget for 1905-06

Rs 1,000 from the allotment for the contingent grant during the year 1905-06

(2) He states that the Vouchers are not receipts from any firm in England, which offered low rates and moreover they do not give the names and details of the apparatus, but they have been signed by one Mr. Jones of Madras and the articles are described as "improved Apparatus for experiments" in different Subjects

3 From the above it is clear that the procedure adopted by the Rct book is highly irregular and involves a flagrant breach of the Financial Rules He is therefore requested to explain the full circumstances under which the sum of Rs 3500 was drawn on the 31st March 05 under the Codal provisions, the expenditure incurred in

1904-05 cannot be met from
the provision in the Budget
for 1905-06 and to explain why
an irregular procedure was adopted
by him notwithstanding the
clear orders of Govt repeatedly
issued vide G.O Nos Fl 2339-41
No Fl 213-15/30-16-16 of the 27th June 1903
& 9th July 1904 respectively, to the
Contrary and why Rs 1,000 in excess
of the sanctioned amount was
drawn ~~for the purpose~~.

~~In the circumstances~~ I am also
Requested to ~~depute a Competent~~ Executive
~~Indian officer to~~ have an examination
~~made of~~ the new pieces of apparatus purchased
and their prices as compared with
their market value, ~~& to see that~~
they are real additions to the stock
after taking the stock ~~out~~ account
~~and submit a Report on the matter~~
upto the 30th June 1904

8/8/1905
Secretary
8/8/1905

JD
8/8/05

To
The Comptroller
~~Chief Engineer~~
Principal, Central College

~~Draft~~ ~~memorandum~~ ~~to~~

The Comptroller has
suggested the name of
Mr Peterkin - But he
cannot be spared
be able to judge the
Value of the apparatus
better -

11 VIII 05

~~Secretary~~ 8/8/1905 ~~to~~ the Special sanction
of 1903 - The Principal
of the Central College
requested

D 13220
1700 No 61

Confidential

Bangalore 23 AUG 1905 189 .

(64-05)-3

From J Cook Esqre MA FRSE
~~The~~ Principal,
Central College,
Bangalore

1V
Mus
23805

19/47

To

B K Venkataravadengar Esqre
Secretary to the Govt of
H H the Maharaja of Mysore
Gen + Rev Depts

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of Government order no 17323/2d (64-05)-2 dated 18th August 1905 on a memo dated 1st August 1905 from the Comptroller Government of Mysore, pointing out certain irregularities in connection with the contingent grant of the Central College during 1904-5

In connection with the above I beg to submit -

(1) that the irregularities pointed have unavoidably occurred owing to the indirect arrangement

which I and Mr Jones of Madras to our extreme regret entered with the firm

(2) that the pieces of apparatus purchased for me by Mr Jones from the firm indirectly, who also spent for this college about £50,000, and sold in return to this College are all indeed quite real additions to the stock on 30 June 1904 I can safely assume the Government that there is no unfairness at all in this matter and that Scientific Instruction will now be considerably benefitted

(3) that as already submitted the prices paid by me for the Government undoubtedly compare very favorably, when the market Value of the pieces of apparatus value now is considered

(4) that orders of Government issued in no Fl 2339-41 of Fl 213-15/16 96 dated 23 June 1903 and 9 July 1904

and Codal rules will hereafter
be strictly observed by me

(5) that various have been
the causes & circumstances that
prevented me from addressing
the Government on this matter
though I intended to do so
quite long ago; & in fact this I
trust that Government will
be so good as to overlook

(6) that I am really very
sorry that I should have
annoyed myself personally
and caused this trouble to
the Government owing to a
hasty arrangement which I
will never again enter into

In these circumstances I
most earnestly beg that
Government will be so good as
to view the above favorably
and sanction not only the
adjustment proposed by me

but

also an addition to the
Special grant (Rs 2500/) of
Rs 1000/ being the excess
spent by me, as otherwise it
will be quite impossible for me
to do any experiments or give
any practical chemistry lessons,
which are quite absolutely necessary
to the students, without the
necessary appliances, chemicals &c
for which I more than need
Rs 1000/, being the annual
Contingent allotment for this purpose

I may add that other Governments
and Native States are now spending
very liberally for educational purposes
and for the efficient maintenance
of their colleges and I fully trust
that this Government will not
consider my economical request
as extravagant when it is nothing
in comparison with what is spent
elsewhere for such a laudable purpose

In this connection I beg to
submit that the Presidency College,
has spent Rs 5,000/- for

for additional Science Apparatus alone

19/149 Further when definite proposals are made by the University Commission of Inspection of Colleges in this Presidency and the University adopts them and also when Extensions of buildings are made to this College for the teaching of additional Science Branches to the B.A. Examination, Government will very kindly have to spend much more than now to satisfy the affiliation Rules which hereafter will be very stringent

Once again I beg to state that the Codal rules will hereafter be strictly observed by me as I have been doing ever since I came to this College in Oct. 1882 (excepting in 1904-5 owing to unavoidable circumstances which may be kindly overlooked)

The

The Comptroller has by his
pre audit arrangement much
inconvenienced Public Service
in the College, hindered in
a way imparting instruction
and the performance of my duties
satisfactorily. I have pointed
out this to him more than
once and inspite of my
representation that Codal will
hereafter be strictly observed by me
he has been annoying by his
stubbornness.

In conclusion I have every
hope & belief that Government
will kindly view the whole
matter very favorably and that
my request re an additional
Grant of Rs 1000/- and the proposed
adjustment will all be sanctioned
in the interests of higher Education in its youths
Any other improvements, additions,
purchases &c will in future not
be made by me without previous submission
before to The Government for sanction.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Principal
Central College, Bangalore

24

GRS 28]

7/9

File No 64-05

Serial No 4

Diary No of letter replied to 1700

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( Ed )

, the 190

Date of issue 5-9-05

Signed by

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

READ —

G.O. No 1732-3/Ed(64-05)-2 dated the 18th August 1905 pointing out certain irregularities in connection with the purchase of Scientific apparatus at a cost of Rs 3,500 for the Central College at Bangalore during 1904-05 and requesting the Principal of the College to explain them and also to state why he drew the amount from the Treasury when there was no provision for it in the Budget

over

Expl Ed 4/9

SUBJECT

2 Letter No 61 dt the 23rd August 1905 from the Principal of the Central College stating that the irregularities have unavoidably occurred owing to the indirect arrangement which he & Mr Jones of Madras entered into with the firm that supplied the apparatus and requesting that they may be kindly overlooked by Govt and that the full amount of Rs 3,500 ~~sanctioned by Govt~~ in the purchase of the apparatus may be sanctioned

---

Order thereon
No 2358-9 dt 5 9 05
Ed(64-05)-4

---

The explanation offered by the Principal is not ~~at all~~ satisfactory. The indirect arrangement which he made is said to have with the firm has nothing to do

6

GRS 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation Sheet draft form)

Expenditure incurred with the ~~excess amount~~ ~~to be drawn~~ from the Treasury without Govt sanction.

~~Secretary~~ to Govt book ~~to observe~~ observe the financial rules strictly in future and as he certifies that the ~~prices of~~ apparatus purchased are all ~~fresh~~ useful additions to the Stock ~~of~~ on hand as on June 1904, the expenditure of Rs 3500 incurred in 1904-05 for the apparatus may be sanctioned as an exceptional case, and the Comptroller is requested to include the amount in his annual appropriation Statement for that year.

In view of the explanation furnished & assurance given by the Principal, Govt are pleased to overlook the irregularity & to direct that

2. The provision of Rs 2500 made in the current year's Budget for the purchase of the apparatus, ~~should not be withdrawn~~ is withdrawn.

6
26/8/05

Papers with reference to Secretary's remarks

29/VIII/05

26/8/05 Secretary

To The Principal, Govt College

31/8/05 " The Comptroller

31/8/05